



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

texts for the imperative in this passage. In *Romans* the well-known passage "There is no respect of persons with God," is translated "no distinction of race," which is less exact. In still other passages the translation approaches to a paraphrase. Nevertheless, the book is convenient in size and in the arrangement of the text, simple yet dignified in the language, and it should help to bring the Bible into more vital relation with the lives of men and women of to-day.

---

STUDIES IN MARK'S GOSPEL. By A. T. Robertson. New York: Macmillan Company.

Dr. Robertson adopts the earliest possible date for Mark's Gospel, 50 A.D., contends that Mark wrote in Greek and that we have his original Greek version substantially unchanged, and though admitting with considerable caution that Mark "shows some use of Q," insists that his Gospel and Q are themselves based on sources, the chief of which for Mark was Peter. In Mark, on the other hand, we have the chief source used by both Matthew and Luke. In textual criticism, therefore, Professor Robertson occupies a safe middle ground. In his discussion of the miraculous element in Mark's Gospel he is at times ultra-conservative. For example, in treating the cure of the demoniac in Mark 5:1-20 he admits that "there are difficulties in the narrative as to the loss of property and demons in hogs, but we are concerned here only with the tremendous effect, the cure of this terrible man of the tombs and of the mountains." Again, "The cursing of the withered fig tree puzzled the disciples, for the tree was not responsible for its having leaves before figs. But this also is an acted parable, an object-lesson for them and for us. We must not advertise what we do not have." All of this will strike some readers as woefully inadequate and perilously like side-stepping.

---

RELIGION AND THE WAR. By members of the Faculty of the School of Religion, Yale University. Edited by E. Hershey Sneath, Ph.D., LL.D. New Haven: Yale University Press. Pp. 178. 1918.

The soup here is not as thick as it might be. Much of the thickening is to be found in the paper by Professor Macintosh